



CHECKLIST

VMware vSphere Hardening Checklist: Reducing Attack Surface in Virtualization

A practical, vendor-neutral checklist for reducing vSphere attack surface by hardening management access, services, network exposure, logging, and operational workflows without disrupting production.

VMware vSphere Hardening Checklist

Purpose: Reduce the attack surface of a vSphere environment while preserving production operations.

How to use this checklist:

- Review each section against your current environment.
- Mark items as Done, Needs work, or Not applicable.
- Capture exceptions with an owner, expiration date, and compensating control.
- Re-check the baseline after every major change.

1) Management access and identity

- ☐ Administrative access is limited to named user accounts; shared admin accounts are not used.
- ☐ Privileged access is separated from everyday user access.
- ☐ All administrative accounts are backed by a controlled identity source.
- ☐ Multi-factor authentication is enabled wherever supported and operationally feasible.



- ☐ Privileged roles are assigned using least-privilege principles.
- ☐ Default accounts have been disabled, renamed, or otherwise protected where supported.
- ☐ Emergency access accounts are documented, secured, and tested.
- ☐ Administrative access paths are logged and reviewed.
- ☐ Account lifecycle processes remove access promptly when staff change roles or leave.
- ☐ Service accounts used by automation, backup, or monitoring are separate from human accounts.

Notes:

- Document who owns each privileged account.
- Avoid using the same credentials for admin, automation, and vendor support access.

2) Management plane exposure

- ☐ vSphere management interfaces are reachable only from approved administrative networks.
- ☐ Management access is blocked from user VLANs and guest networks.
- ☐ Direct access from broad enterprise networks is restricted unless explicitly required.
- ☐ Jump hosts or administration zones are used where appropriate.
- ☐ Firewall rules for management access are narrowly scoped to required sources and destinations.
- ☐ Temporary access rules have expiration dates and are removed after use.
- ☐ Remote troubleshooting paths are documented and reviewed.
- ☐ Internet exposure of any management component has been eliminated.
- ☐ Network paths to hosts and management components are validated from an attacker's point of view.
- ☐ Management access changes are included in change control.

Validation checks:



- ☐ Attempt to reach management interfaces from unauthorized segments and confirm access is denied.
- ☐ Confirm only approved jump hosts can access management services.

3) Host and service minimization

- ☐ Only required services are enabled on hosts and management components.
- ☐ Legacy or unused remote access methods are disabled.
- ☐ Unnecessary extensions, integrations, or plugins are removed or disabled.
- ☐ Services enabled for troubleshooting are disabled when the maintenance window ends.
- ☐ Backup, monitoring, and patching integrations are documented so they can be preserved during hardening.
- ☐ Any protocol that is not required for daily operations has been removed from the steady-state configuration.
- ☐ Default service settings have been reviewed for exposure.
- ☐ Configuration drift is monitored so disabled services do not reappear.

Before disabling anything, confirm:

- ☐ Which tools depend on the service.
- ☐ Whether a maintenance workflow requires it.
- ☐ Whether a vendor or backup process still uses it.

4) Network segmentation and lateral movement resistance

- ☐ Management, storage, vMotion, backup, and workload networks are separated according to design.
- ☐ Control-plane traffic is not mixed with general user traffic without a documented reason.



- ☐ Host-to-host and host-to-management traffic paths are limited to what is required.
- ☐ Administrative services are not exposed across unnecessary routed networks.
- ☐ Network ACLs or firewall rules prevent lateral movement into management components.
- ☐ Segmentation assumptions are tested after changes.
- ☐ Monitoring confirms that only expected systems can talk to management interfaces.

Good practice:

- Keep the management plane small, explicit, and auditable.
- Do not rely on ?security by obscurity? or hidden network paths.

5) Logging, alerting, and auditability

- ☐ Authentication events are logged centrally.
- ☐ Administrative actions are logged and retained according to policy.
- ☐ Failed logins, privilege changes, and service enablement events generate alerts.
- ☐ Logs are protected from tampering and unauthorized deletion.
- ☐ Time synchronization is consistent across hosts and management systems.
- ☐ Log retention is long enough to support investigation and change review.
- ☐ Security and operations teams know where to find audit logs.
- ☐ Alert thresholds are tuned to detect suspicious activity without excessive noise.

Review questions:

- ☐ Can you identify who accessed management systems last week?
- ☐ Can you see when a service was enabled or a rule was changed?
- ☐ Can you trace access from source system to action taken?

6) Backup, monitoring, and support workflows



- ☐ Backup appliances and monitoring systems use least-privilege credentials.
- ☐ Support workflows are documented and limited to approved routes.
- ☐ Vendor access, if needed, is controlled, time-bound, and audited.
- ☐ Recovery procedures do not require permanent broad access.
- ☐ Maintenance exceptions are documented and expire automatically or by review.
- ☐ Operational workflows are tested to ensure hardening did not break production tasks.
- ☐ The team knows how to perform critical operations without opening broad access.

Check for common hidden exposure:

- ☐ Old backup credentials still working somewhere.
- ☐ Monitoring systems with more access than they need.
- ☐ ?Temporary? firewall or firewall-like exceptions that became permanent.
- ☐ Support accounts that are active outside maintenance windows.

7) Configuration baseline and drift control

- ☐ A hardened baseline is documented for the environment.
- ☐ The baseline identifies required, conditional, and unnecessary exposures.
- ☐ Changes are compared against the baseline before deployment.
- ☐ Post-change validation confirms the attack surface did not expand.
- ☐ Configuration drift is checked on a recurring schedule.
- ☐ Exceptions are recorded with justification, owner, and review date.
- ☐ Hardening actions are reversible if production impact is discovered.
- ☐ The current state is versioned or otherwise tracked.

Suggested baseline categories:

- Identity and privilege
- Management network access
- Enabled services and protocols



- Logging and alerting
- Backup and support paths
- Exception handling

8) Quick validation test

Use this quick test after hardening changes:

- ☐ Can the management plane be reached from a non-administrative network?
- ☐ Are only approved admin paths able to connect?
- ☐ Are unnecessary services still disabled after reboot, patching, or maintenance?
- ☐ Do logs show expected administrative activity and access sources?
- ☐ Are privileged accounts minimized and individually owned?
- ☐ Are temporary exceptions removed on schedule?
- ☐ Do backup, monitoring, and recovery workflows still function?
- ☐ Has the team documented what changed and why?

If any answer is no or unclear, treat it as a hardening gap.

9) Exception record template

Use this template for any hardening exception:

- Exception ID: _____
- Date opened: _____
- Owner: _____
- System or service affected: _____
- Reason for exception: _____
- Risk accepted by: _____



- Compensating control: _____
- Expiration or review date: _____
- Status: _____
- Notes: _____

10) Final readiness check

Before declaring the environment hardened, confirm:

- ☐ The control plane is smaller than the threat model requires.
- ☐ Only necessary administrative paths remain.
- ☐ Remaining paths are protected by segmentation and least privilege.
- ☐ Logging and alerting are working.
- ☐ Operational workflows still function.
- ☐ Exceptions are documented and reviewed.
- ☐ The baseline has been recorded for future drift detection.

Outcome

A hardened vSphere environment does not eliminate risk, but it reduces the number of viable attack paths and makes the remaining ones easier to defend, monitor, and audit.

Related reading:

- [Hardening VMware vSphere ESXi against unauthorized access](#)
- [VM encryption in vSphere: how to secure sensitive workloads](#)