



CHECKLIST

Node.js Secure Dependency Management Checklist

A practical checklist for evaluating package trust, locking dependency versions, triaging audits, and verifying Node.js dependency changes before production release.

Node.js Secure Dependency Management Checklist

Use this checklist before introducing a new package or promoting a dependency update to production.

1) Package approval

- ☐ Confirm the package is needed and there is no safer built-in or existing dependency alternative.
- ☐ Identify whether the package is direct or transitive.
- ☐ Review the package maintainer, ownership, and release history.
- ☐ Check whether the package scope, name, and repository appear consistent and legitimate.
- ☐ Verify the package has recent, stable maintenance activity appropriate to your use case.
- ☐ Flag packages with suspiciously fast growth, sudden maintainership changes, or unclear provenance.

2) Trust and behavior review



- ☐ Inspect the package README, install instructions, and published metadata.
- ☐ Check for install-time scripts such as preinstall, install, or postinstall.
- ☐ Determine whether the package requires native compilation or external build tools.
- ☐ Review whether the package needs elevated permissions, network access, or shell execution during install.
- ☐ Compare the package name with popular libraries to detect lookalike or typosquatting risk.
- ☐ Confirm the package behavior matches the security sensitivity of the application.

3) Dependency graph review

- ☐ Review the direct dependency change and the transitive packages it introduces.
- ☐ Identify whether the update changes authentication, authorization, parsing, serialization, logging, or crypto behavior.
- ☐ Check whether the update adds new transitive packages that expand trust assumptions.
- ☐ Confirm that any high-risk dependency is explicitly reviewed rather than accepted implicitly.
- ☐ Document any package that influences secrets, tokens, uploads, or artifact generation.

4) Version control and reproducibility

- ☐ Pin the intended direct dependency version.
- ☐ Update the lockfile intentionally and review it as part of code review.
- ☐ Ensure the manifest and lockfile are consistent.
- ☐ Use the lockfile in CI, staging, and production builds.
- ☐ Confirm the install is reproducible from a clean environment.
- ☐ Avoid unreviewed lockfile drift caused by local installs or ad hoc updates.

5) Build and CI validation



- ☐ Run dependency installs in a clean CI environment.
- ☐ Verify the build uses declared sources only.
- ☐ Fail the pipeline if the lockfile and manifest are out of sync.
- ☐ Confirm tests pass against the exact dependency tree that will be released.
- ☐ Check that no unexpected install scripts ran during CI.
- ☐ Record the build evidence needed to support a release decision.

6) Audit and vulnerability triage

- ☐ Run a dependency audit or equivalent vulnerability check.
- ☐ Classify findings by severity and by application context.
- ☐ Distinguish between exploitable issues and low-risk alerts.
- ☐ Track each finding as accepted, deferred, mitigated, or fixed.
- ☐ Set a due date and owner for unresolved high-risk findings.
- ☐ Re-check the dependency tree after remediation to confirm the fix landed.

7) Release gating

- ☐ Require explicit approval for packages affecting authentication, authorization, cryptography, input validation, or artifact generation.
- ☐ Confirm the release candidate matches the tested dependency tree.
- ☐ Verify no unapproved dependency changes are present in the final artifact.
- ☐ Ensure the release notes include meaningful dependency updates and known risks.
- ☐ Promote the build only after evidence is recorded and reviewed.

8) Operational follow-up

- ☐ Schedule a regular dependency review cadence.



- ☐ Keep a policy for when exact pinning is required versus when controlled updates are acceptable.
- ☐ Review dependency alerts for patterns, not just one-off issues.
- ☐ Remove unused packages and reduce dependency sprawl where possible.
- ☐ Reassess trust in packages with abandoned maintenance or unusual behavior.
- ☐ Treat packages in the security trust boundary as production-critical assets.

Quick release decision test

Before approval, answer these four questions:

- ☐ Can we explain why this package is allowed?
- ☐ Can we prove exactly what version will run in production?
- ☐ Can we reproduce the install in a clean CI environment?
- ☐ Can we show the evidence that supports the release decision?

If any answer is ?no,? do not promote the release yet.

Notes

- Treat lockfiles as release artifacts, not housekeeping files.
- Secure dependency management reduces supply-chain risk, but it does not replace code review or runtime controls.
- For packages that affect trust boundaries, require a higher level of scrutiny and a documented approval trail.