



CHECKLIST

Node.js Rate Limiting with Redis Checklist

A practical checklist for designing, validating, and operating Redis-backed rate limiting in Node.js APIs.

Node.js Rate Limiting with Redis: Secure API Throttling Checklist

Use this checklist to design, validate, and operate a Redis-backed rate limiter for a Node.js API.

1) Define the goal

- ☐ Confirm the main objective: abuse control, latency protection, fairness, or dependency shielding
- ☐ Identify which endpoints need limits: public, authenticated, write-heavy, expensive, or sensitive routes
- ☐ Document whether limits are per user, API key, tenant, IP, route, or a combination
- ☐ Decide whether the same policy applies across all Node.js instances

2) Choose the identity strategy

- ☐ Use a stable identifier for authenticated traffic, such as user ID or client ID
- ☐ Use IP-based controls for unauthenticated endpoints, especially login, signup, and password reset



- ☐ Combine identity dimensions where needed, such as IP + username or tenant + route
- ☐ Confirm how proxies, load balancers, and NAT affect the chosen identity
- ☐ Verify that spoofable headers are not used as the sole source of identity

3) Select the limiter model

- ☐ Pick a fixed window, sliding window, token bucket, or leaky bucket model
- ☐ Match the model to the use case:
- ☐ Fixed window for simplicity
- ☐ Sliding window for smoother fairness
- ☐ Token or leaky bucket for controlled burst handling
- ☐ Define the allowed burst behavior explicitly
- ☐ Confirm the model reflects real traffic patterns, not just a theoretical target

4) Design the Redis key structure

- ☐ Define a clear Redis key format
- ☐ Include identity, route scope, and any other relevant dimension
- ☐ Set a TTL that matches the window or refill policy
- ☐ Ensure keys expire automatically and do not accumulate indefinitely
- ☐ Verify the key design avoids collisions across environments, tenants, or services

5) Decide where enforcement happens

- ☐ Apply rate limiting after authentication for authenticated endpoints when possible
- ☐ Apply pre-authentication limits for public endpoints that are frequently abused
- ☐ Confirm middleware order so the limiter sees the intended request context
- ☐ Ensure the enforcement point is consistent across replicas



6) Define the failure mode

- ☐ Choose fail-open or fail-closed behavior for Redis unavailability
- ☐ Use fail-open only where availability matters more than strict protection
- ☐ Use fail-closed for high-risk endpoints where abuse prevention is critical
- ☐ Document the fallback behavior clearly for operations and security teams
- ☐ Test the behavior when Redis is slow, unreachable, or timing out

7) Make the limiter atomic and safe

- ☐ Use an atomic Redis operation or Lua-based approach for counter updates
- ☐ Avoid multi-step non-atomic updates that can race under concurrency
- ☐ Confirm concurrent requests do not over-admit traffic
- ☐ Validate behavior under bursty traffic from multiple instances

8) Set response behavior

- ☐ Return HTTP 429 when the limit is exceeded
- ☐ Include a clear retry signal when appropriate
- ☐ Emit standard rate-limit headers if your API uses them
- ☐ Keep response messages simple and non-sensitive
- ☐ Make the client experience predictable and consistent

9) Add observability

- ☐ Log rate-limit decisions at an appropriate level
- ☐ Track metrics for allowed requests, throttled requests, and Redis errors



- ☐ Monitor Redis latency, memory use, and connection health
- ☐ Create alerts for unusual throttling spikes or Redis dependency failures
- ☐ Ensure dashboards let operators distinguish abuse from misconfiguration

10) Validate the policy before production

- ☐ Test steady-state traffic below the limit
- ☐ Test burst traffic above the limit
- ☐ Test boundary conditions near the window reset point
- ☐ Test multiple application instances to confirm shared enforcement
- ☐ Test authenticated and unauthenticated paths separately
- ☐ Test Redis outage and timeout scenarios
- ☐ Confirm the API behaves as expected under retries and client backoff

11) Check business and usability impact

- ☐ Verify the limit is reasonable for legitimate automation and integrations
- ☐ Review the policy with application, platform, and security stakeholders
- ☐ Confirm the chosen limit does not break expected user flows
- ☐ Ensure support teams know how to explain throttling to customers
- ☐ Revisit the policy after observing real production traffic

12) Production readiness review

- ☐ The limiter key strategy is documented
- ☐ The window and burst policy are documented
- ☐ The Redis dependency and timeout settings are documented
- ☐ The fail-open or fail-closed decision is documented



- ☐ Metrics and alerts are in place
- ☐ Load and failure tests have passed
- ☐ The rollout plan includes monitoring and rollback steps

Quick yes/no checklist

- ☐ Does the limiter work across all Node.js instances?
- ☐ Does it use a meaningful identity for each endpoint type?
- ☐ Does it handle Redis failure intentionally?
- ☐ Does it return a clear 429 response when limits are exceeded?
- ☐ Can operators see throttling behavior in logs and metrics?
- ☐ Has it been tested under burst, steady-state, and failure conditions?

Suggested rollout order

- Start with the most abused or expensive endpoints.
- Use conservative thresholds and monitor the impact.
- Tune limits based on real traffic and support feedback.
- Expand coverage to additional routes once the policy is stable.
- Reassess periodically as usage patterns change.

Final sign-off

- ☐ The limiter protects the API without creating unnecessary friction
- ☐ The policy is consistent with security and platform requirements
- ☐ The implementation is safe, observable, and operationally documented
- ☐ The team understands how to maintain and tune the policy over time