



CHECKLIST

MongoDB Indexing Checklist for Query Performance Optimization

A practical checklist for planning, creating, validating, and operating MongoDB indexes before production use.

MongoDB Indexing Checklist for Query Performance Optimization

Use this checklist to plan, implement, validate, and operate a MongoDB index for a specific query pattern.

1) Confirm the problem is an indexing problem

- ☐ I have a specific slow query, aggregation stage, or application code path to optimize.
- ☐ I have evidence from logs, APM, profiling, or explain() output.
- ☐ I have ruled out non-index bottlenecks such as:
 - ☐ large result sets returned to the application
 - ☐ expensive client-side processing
 - ☐ network latency
 - ☐ missing projection causing excessive field retrieval
 - ☐ heavy post-filter computation in an aggregation pipeline
- ☐ I can describe the query's main filter, sort, and join behavior.



2) Document the target query shape

- ☐ I have the exact filter fields used by the query.
- ☐ I have identified which predicates are equality matches.
- ☐ I have identified which predicates are range conditions.
- ☐ I know whether the query sorts results, and if so, by which field(s).
- ☐ I know whether the query must support exact match, range scan, sort support, or a combination.
- ☐ I know whether the query returns a narrow result set or a broad slice of data.
- ☐ I can express the query in representative form, for example:

3) Check prerequisites before building an index

- ☐ I know the expected cardinality of the indexed fields.
- ☐ I understand the selectivity of the query predicates.
- ☐ I know the acceptable write overhead for the collection.
- ☐ I have a production-like staging environment, or I understand the risk of testing directly in production.
- ☐ I have permission to inspect query plans and create indexes.

4) Design the index

- ☐ Equality fields are placed first in the compound index.
- ☐ Range fields are placed after equality fields.
- ☐ Sort fields are aligned with the index order when the index should satisfy sorting.



- ☐ The index is as narrow as possible while still supporting the query.
- ☐ The index does not begin with a low-selectivity field unless that field is always part of the query.
- ☐ The index definition matches the actual query pattern rather than a hypothetical one.

Example candidate:

5) Check for existing or overlapping indexes

- ☐ I reviewed the collection's current indexes.
- ☐ I checked for identical prefixes that may already support the query.
- ☐ I checked whether an existing index already supports the sort.
- ☐ I identified any partially overlapping indexes.
- ☐ I determined whether the candidate is:
 - ☐ new and needed
 - ☐ redundant
 - ☐ replaceable by an existing index
 - ☐ too expensive for the expected gain
- ☐ I considered index consolidation to avoid index proliferation.

6) Validate the candidate with explain()

- ☐ I ran the target query through explain() before making changes.
- ☐ I noted whether the current plan uses a collection scan.
- ☐ I noted whether the current plan uses a blocking sort.
- ☐ I noted documents examined vs. documents returned.
- ☐ I created a candidate index only after comparing it against current behavior.



- ☐ I have a before-and-after baseline for comparison.

What to look for after indexing:

- ☐ index scan instead of collection scan
- ☐ fewer documents examined
- ☐ no blocking sort when the index should support ordering
- ☐ stable plan selection with representative inputs

7) Create the index safely

- ☐ I selected a controlled change window when possible.
- ☐ I understand the index build behavior for my MongoDB version and topology.
- ☐ I assessed the impact of index build time on CPU, I/O, and memory.
- ☐ I confirmed the index build strategy is appropriate for a hot or large collection.
- ☐ I created the index with the intended definition.

Example:

- ☐ I verified the index exists after creation.
- ☐ I confirmed there were no unexpected application errors or write failures.
- ☐ I confirmed there was no unacceptable latency spike during the build.

8) Validate query performance after deployment

- ☐ The query now uses the intended index or another clearly appropriate index.
- ☐ The winning plan is better than the baseline.
- ☐ Query latency improved for representative inputs.
- ☐ The improvement holds for different tenants, date ranges, or other realistic parameter sets.



- ☐ The plan remains stable under normal workload variation.
- ☐ The sort is satisfied by the index when that was part of the goal.

9) Check for operational side effects

- ☐ I measured write overhead after adding the index.
- ☐ I reviewed index size and growth rate.
- ☐ I confirmed the index does not create unacceptable storage pressure.
- ☐ I checked whether the index increases maintenance cost during inserts, updates, or deletes.
- ☐ I verified the index is still useful for production traffic, not just synthetic tests.

10) Review for index drift over time

- ☐ I have a process for periodically reviewing query plans.
- ☐ I can identify when a query shape has changed.
- ☐ I can detect when an index is no longer useful.
- ☐ I have a plan to retire redundant indexes safely.
- ☐ I revisit index design when application filters, sorts, or aggregations change.

11) Common failure checks

Stop and re-evaluate if any of these are true:

- ☐ The query target is not clearly defined.
- ☐ The main bottleneck is not actually indexing-related.



- ☐ The compound field order does not match the query shape.
- ☐ The index exists but the query still performs a collection scan.
- ☐ The query still requires a blocking sort.
- ☐ The new index duplicates an existing one.
- ☐ The write cost or storage cost is too high for the benefit gained.

12) Production readiness checklist

Before calling the index ready for production, confirm:

- ☐ The query is documented.
- ☐ The index design is justified.
- ☐ Existing indexes were reviewed.
- ☐ The index was built safely.
- ☐ explain() confirms the desired plan.
- ☐ Realistic workloads were tested.
- ☐ Write overhead was reviewed.
- ☐ Index size and growth were reviewed.
- ☐ Ongoing monitoring and cleanup are defined.

Quick decision summary

Use a MongoDB index when:

- the query is clearly identified
- the index matches filter and sort behavior
- explain plans show reduced scanning
- operational impact is acceptable



Do not add an index when:

- the query pattern is unknown
- another bottleneck is the real issue
- the candidate is redundant or poorly ordered
- the write and storage costs outweigh the benefit

Final sign-off

- ☐ I confirmed the index improves the target query.
- ☐ I confirmed the operational impact is acceptable.
- ☐ I documented the final index definition and rationale.
- ☐ I have a follow-up plan to monitor performance after deployment.