



## CHECKLIST

# Checklist: How to Detect and Prioritize Critical Vulnerabilities

A practical, vendor-neutral checklist for validating exposure, confirming exploitability, and prioritizing critical vulnerability remediation based on real operational risk.

## Checklist: Detect and Prioritize Critical Vulnerabilities

Use this checklist to move from raw scanner output to a defensible remediation queue.

### 1) Confirm the asset and ownership

- ☐ Identify the affected asset by hostname, instance ID, container image, application name, or service ID.
- ☐ Confirm the business owner or support team.
- ☐ Determine whether the asset is production, test, lab, clone, or decommissioned.
- ☐ Record the asset's function and business importance.
- ☐ Note the maintenance window and change constraints.

Stop and gather more data if:

- ☐ You cannot name the asset precisely.
- ☐ You do not know who owns it.
- ☐ The asset may be a stale or retired system.



---

## 2) Validate exposure context

- ☐ Determine whether the asset is internet-facing, internal-only, segmented, or unreachable.
- ☐ Identify the relevant trust boundary.
- ☐ Confirm whether the vulnerable service, port, endpoint, or feature is reachable.
- ☐ Check whether the asset is protected by segmentation, ACLs, WAF, EDR, authentication, or feature flags.
- ☐ Note whether the exposure is direct or indirect through another system.

Stop and gather more data if:

- ☐ You cannot tell whether the vulnerable path is reachable.
- ☐ You do not know whether a compensating control blocks the attack path.

---

## 3) Verify the vulnerable component

- ☐ Confirm the exact package, version, library, image layer, endpoint, or component.
- ☐ Compare scanner output with host data, package manager output, image metadata, or SBOM evidence.
- ☐ Check whether the vulnerable component is still deployed.
- ☐ Verify whether a fix or configuration change has already been applied.
- ☐ Note any version drift between reported and actual state.

Stop and gather more data if:

- ☐ The finding lacks concrete evidence such as version, file path, or endpoint.
- ☐ The result appears stale, duplicated, or inconsistent with the live system.

---

## 4) Identify whether the finding is a critical candidate



Flag the finding as a critical candidate if one or more of the following apply:

- ☐ Remote code execution
- ☐ Authentication bypass
- ☐ Privilege escalation
- ☐ Wormable behavior
- ☐ Known active exploitation
- ☐ Clear proof of concept or weaponization
- ☐ Vulnerability affects a high-value system such as identity, secrets management, CI/CD, core networking, or production data services
- ☐ Vulnerability affects a shared dependency with broad blast radius

---

## 5) Score practical exploitability

For each candidate, answer these questions:

- ☐ Is the vulnerable service reachable from the threat path?
- ☐ Does exploitation require authentication?
- ☐ Does exploitation require local access or unusual prerequisites?
- ☐ Is there known exploit activity or reliable proof of concept?
- ☐ Is the vulnerable feature enabled in this environment?

Decision rule:

- ☐ Treat as urgent only if the attack path is plausible in this environment.
- ☐ Do not escalate based on severity alone.

---

## 6) Assess blast radius and business impact

- ☐ Determine whether the asset supports downstream systems or shared services.
- ☐ Check whether compromise could expose secrets, customer data, or management interfaces.



- ☐ Assess whether exploitation could enable lateral movement.
- ☐ Identify any regulatory, availability, or safety impact.
- ☐ Record the operational consequence of compromise in plain language.

---

## 7) Check remediation speed and options

- ☐ Can the issue be patched immediately?
- ☐ Is a safe mitigation available, such as disabling a feature or restricting access?
- ☐ Is a vendor fix required?
- ☐ Does remediation require a change window?
- ☐ Can risk be reduced quickly with temporary containment?

---

## 8) Rank the finding in the remediation queue

Use this practical order:

- ☐ Internet-facing, exploitable, and on a high-value asset
- ☐ Internal but reachable from many systems or privileged networks
- ☐ Exposed, but exploitation requires an extra condition not currently present
- ☐ Present only on isolated, non-production, or unreachable components

Record a short rationale for each item:

- ☐ Exposure path
- ☐ Exploit condition
- ☐ Business impact
- ☐ Remediation path
- ☐ Expected time to reduce risk

---

## 9) Validate whether it is truly active



Before opening an emergency incident or change:

- ☐ Confirm the vulnerable version is still installed or deployed.
- ☐ Verify the service is reachable from the relevant trust boundary.
- ☐ Confirm a compensating control does not already block the attack.
- ☐ Check whether the patch or configuration change is already in place.
- ☐ If authorized, look for evidence of exploitation using approved tooling.

Possible statuses:

- ☐ Active
- ☐ Mitigated
- ☐ Unreachable
- ☐ False positive
- ☐ Pending more evidence

---

## 10) Document the final decision

For each finding, capture:

- ☐ Asset name and owner
- ☐ Vulnerable component and version
- ☐ Exposure state
- ☐ Exploitability summary
- ☐ Business impact summary
- ☐ Control evidence
- ☐ Final status
- ☐ Recommended remediation action
- ☐ Due date or SLA

---

## Quick triage rule



A vulnerability is usually urgent when all three are true:

- ☐ It is reachable in your environment.
- ☐ It is realistically exploitable.
- ☐ The affected asset matters to the business.

If one of those is false, validate further before escalating.

---

## Common mistakes to avoid

- ☐ Prioritizing by CVSS alone
- ☐ Trusting scanner severity without asset validation
- ☐ Ignoring duplicate or stale inventory data
- ☐ Treating exploit code availability as the only deciding factor
- ☐ Escalating findings that are unreachable or already mitigated

---

## One-line summary template

Use this format to explain the finding clearly:

Asset: [name] | Component: [vulnerable component/version] | Exposure: [internet-facing/internal/segmented] | Exploitability: [plausible/not plausible] | Impact: [business consequence] | Status: [active/mitigated/unreachable/false positive]